

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, September 11, 2026 12:27
To: Green Hill Solar
Subject: Fw: Response to Secretary of State

You don't often get email from [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Dear Sir or Madam,

The 100s of pages of "response" documents from Green Hill Solar are irrelevant. The fundamental objections to this scheme remain the same as they have always been ...

1. It removes from agricultural production 100s of acres of good arable land at a time when global pressures on food supply are growing and the UK is in danger of entirely losing what little food security we have left. That growing crops is unprofitable currently is a systemic failure that urgently needs addressing but covering the land with solar panels and import grain from overseas is not a solution that is in the public interests
2. This scheme is wholly out of scale and inappropriate for the location. Without a doubt, the UK needs to massively and rapidly expand sustainable "green" energy production but instead of covering agricultural land with solar, it should be accommodated within existing and new build infrastructure e.g. car parks, the ever growing number of vast warehouses in the area (none of which has a single solar panel incorporated into its roof structure) etc.
3. The scheme is not in the public interest, it is, at its root, intended to maximise profits for the landowners and developers. Building solar on green field sites is the cheapest and easiest option and therefore more profitable. The government should and must take a wider view of what, long term, is best for the nation.

I do not object to solar schemes per se, quite the opposite, but this scheme is, I am certain, not what the country needs. In the race for "net zero" we are in danger of jumping, quite literally, from the frying pan into the fire if we continue with the ongoing failure to properly manage a sustainable farming industry.

Yours
Bruce Peckett